G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891
~~192~~ .

(LR)

Later references.

File No. 11/1-91

Serial Nos. 1-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10

Part B for ~~Feb~~ 94.

SUBJECT.

Paleli of Burudallorayanu Mallenhalli in the Hassan Taluq

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-26

Appeal No. of 1892-3.

Appellant —(1) Ramnagar
brother of Shan-
-bogue Vandringa
(2) Rangé Gowda
Patel of Grama
Village
Hassan Taluq

Facts of Case: Record.

On 29th Nov. 1886 the
Dy. Comm. Hassan
Dk. Enquired what had
been done with the Vacant
Patelé of Mallenhalli.

20.2.89 The Dy. Comm. of
Grama called upon the
Shantogue Vandringa as who
was doing the duties to
produce papers shewing
his hak to the Patelé in
question.

16.4.90 Ramnagar the appellant
petitioned the Dy. Comm.
Claiming office having his
right on the reason of his
father, & brother (Shantogue)
Vandringa having lay per-
-formed the duties of Patel.

28.5.90 the also produced Revenue
Extract shewing that his
father

father had instituted the
Collection duties & Patil
Service given this instance
during the Survey Settlement. (1882-83).

Shantaji Venkaya defendt 1-6-90
has practice resisted per-
forming the Collection duties
of Inamdar the Karad
Dist Satara, but the
latter has been backward for
the last 5 years: & prayed
that the Patili of the two villages
should be conferred on his
brother Ramanya the appellant.

The Sy. Smiles admits 16.6-90
the appellant's claim & confesses
it.

In reply the Dy. Commr 7.7-90.
remarks that Ramanya must have
obtained the Patili of Inamdar
done the Collection duties
for a part of a Patili; that
Survey documents establishing
their hereditary were produced
no attention should be given
to the representations of the
Appellant & his brother,
otherwise the Collection
Services should be denied
from the neighbouring Villages.
The Commissioner's order 1-8-90
-makes the same & rejects
-the appeal Service.

The Sy. Commr. wrote on the 28.7-90.
that Ramanya's succession
dating from Sanad is

dated

Lebrician and Kuchisar for
the Patil of Bennadahalli
Kaval — one who might be
useful to the plantain
work also. The Cur. Asst.
Conservator Hirehagunige
2-9-20 and the Patil Kaliyanda
of Beggadhalli made a
statement that there had
been no Patel for the Kaval
in question and that Govda
was taking the lease of
Kaikeeni was keeping
the amount direct into
the Treasury: and Ranga
3-9-20 Gowda of Kadaponigere
deposed that his father
enjoying the lease of the
Kaikinage 70 years ago
& that himself had enjoyed
for 20 years, that a large
portion of the Kaval lay
adjacent this village
and that in consequence
he was had a prior claim
to the office.

7-10-20. The Cur. Assistant Conservator
also replied intimating the
above statement and re-
commending stating that
there appeared to be had-
-ly any of the office that as
the Patels' income was
small, others did not
contest the take up the
office, and recommended
the

The Nomination of Rungo
Gouda Patel of Radhanpur
Shamjee Vasram on 29-10-20.
petitioned the Dy. Collector
that he had been performing
the duties of the office, and
had succeeded during the
Survey Operations, that the
three sons Karamsey
(the appellant) were dead
years and died Intestate
that during the Survey
Jamabandy Potgi Council
was given from after
their enquiry, and found
that the office can rightly
be conferred on his brother.
Respondt.
The Dy. Collector decided 12-12-20
the Dy. Collector, that the
the petitioner had no other
document to establish his
case except his performance
of the duties, that Bhoorsiallmar
Rana and Mallenhalli had
been deemed the Lawful of the
latter had been undertaken,
also that the appellant alone
was competent for the
vacant office, having already
performed the duties, &
that he had sent notice the
Taluq Treasury for the pay-
-ment & him of the Potgi
for the period he did
the

the Shambhoj

21

Re duties.

11-6-91. The Dy. Commr. Kheda wrote the Dy. Collector stating for ~~the~~ further information ~~that the petitioner, Ishwar-~~ ~~-ma Patel duties for 8 years as above, & inquiring if which village Accountant-~~ ~~-ship has been attached, who has been performing~~ ~~the Patel duties~~.

11. 6. 91 Shantagna Vandrevgar deposed that Kundalbay and Mallenhalli had been attached to the Grama Tatha that as it had not been impracticable that Patel alone should collect the revenues, himself & the (illegible) & then himself had been doing it, & that himself was doing it for Kundalbay after the survey settlement & produced kuli extracts.

31. 7-91 Rango Gonda Patel of Grama (2nd appellant) petitioned the Dy. Asstt Collector stating that he was collecting Kandayam from Mallenhalli as there was no Patel for it, that he had succeeded in the survey operations such as measuring, erection of Boundaries, Chin, Phut Pathani &c &

produced

produced (1) ... for the election of trustees (College not working) (2) letter from Phulpahari Kachcheri (3) kistbandi (Rajshahi) (8.29.7.82) Order showing the balance against Mallenhalli also among others (4) Kabuli patta showing the balance agt. Mallenhalli also containing Nikhoas' order & receipt of remittance of Rs. ... Range Lands (2nd objection) thereto & the amount - (5) Statement showing the lands held at Mallenhalli (Rama Narayan)

Range Lands also made a statement as to the terms of the above petition, stating that the said lands in Mallenhalli assessed at Rs. 15/- & praying that the Kabuli might be conferred on his son Lingamuje Lands - 22-4-91

The Dy. Commr. also forwards Extracts from the Dy. Commr. Extracts showing the objection made by Shantayya Sastriyar for Grant Mallenhalli from Fasli Kistbandi & from Annual Lease from Chikballapur, also the petition & the statement (1882-83) 6-1-92

of the Exams Patil Ringa
Gowda with the cases hea-
-dmen by him, and reported
that Ries being no Patil,
the Tranique performed the
Collection duties, that Bun-
-diattray had been
sometime attached to
the Lalug & sometimes
to Neggadhalli.

6-3-02. Ringa Gowda son of
Kalegowda Patil of
Neggadhalli petitioned the
Dy. Amildar and deposed
that Bunadattray
Karady lay in ambush
this village and that
he was in consequence
hesitating to the Patil
office -

13-4-02 The Dy. Amildar informed
the above petition & the
deposition also to the
Dy Commissioner during
the Jamabundy and also
produced all the
Complaints -

20-4-02. Thereupon the Dy.
Comm passed the following
speech: —

As Ringa Gowda Patel of
Dodgonigere is about to
resign and inasmuch he is appoin-
-ted Patil of Bunadat-
-tray: After him the
Rule should devolve on
the family of Ringa

Gowda

Cross E. Nagadahalli, Soon alternately
There has been no hereditary
post patil of Mallenhalli; the ground on
of Boregowda was land
in the village assessed
at Rs 21 & also a house;
he is appointed patil &c.

—

The appellants appeal
against the above order.

S.R.B
21.11.92.

Appeal No. of 1882-83

1.

Appellants :—(1) Ramanna
brother of Shanbog
Varadenga
Grama
Kasaran Taluq

(2) Ranga Gowda
Patel of Grama
Kasaran Taluq

Present

The villages of Kallen-
halli and Burudabore
were beerait and during
the Survey settlement (1882-83)
Potigi was fixed for these
two villages and Patels
had the hereby appendages
The 1st Appellant Claimed
the Patelki of both the villages
on the strength of
his brother + father having
long performed the collection
duties and assisted in the
Survey operations : Ton Potigi
Samad granted this inam.
The 2nd Appellant Claimed
the Patelki of the former
village on the strength of
his having performed
Collection duties and assis-
ted in the Survey operation

Ranga Gowda son of
Kallegowda Patel of Nagad-
halli

The Survey Superintendent
both is regarded as Patel of
the Beerait village of
Kallenhalli which is only
abt ¾ of a mile from Sama
was passed with a Recharge

-hatti claimed the Patelki of the latter village: as the village Kaval Rights lay adjacent this village he alleged he was entitled for the newly created Pime & Pimpje Land a part of Bodgaigaon but both his claims the same office on the reason of his father & himself having long enjoyed the Kachhaye lease of the Kaval

The Dy. Collector of Surat recommended the 1st Appellant for both the offices and the Asst. Conservator of Forests also was requested to make a selection recommending Pimpje Land of Bodgaigaon.

The Patnaiya Mamlatdar that mere performance of duties did not give a right to the office and asked for documents establishing the 1st Appellant's right.

There were no other statements excepting the Patel's Saranad & Kirkoli; & the Panchayat Register did not show any entries,

Mr

The Magistrate Syed Hassan-
deen the acting Dy.
Commr. heard the
parties and ~~dismissed~~
directed that the Patels
of Borrodal Doray Khan
be engaged by the Jamadi
of Bunga Jowda Patel of
Dodsonigere and of
Bunga Jowda of Hegga-
halli, alternately and appointed
Bunga Jowda Kan. the
Jowda Son of Boregowda
a resident of Mallen-
halli, ~~appearing~~ on
Conditions of Rs 20/-
as Patel Rowdi

The appeal is against
the above order.

The Appellants Con-
tend on the rights of
their Servers vested.

S.R.A
21.11.92.

Post for H
Have notice
to be issued
accordingly

R. 30.

Posted for hearing
on 11 Aug 1893.

BR
Posted 27 July 1893

with a Village Assurance
of Rs. 2+- per annum
and similarly why the
Naval cadet Admiral
Boreham situated near
the village of Aylesbeare
and Aylesbeare Hall, was
formed into a separate
village, whether this may
not be merged in the village
to which they were attached
before the survey settlement,
and whether, in case it is
necessary to retain them
as separate villages, the
leaders of neighbouring villages
may not with equal fairness

[illegible] with consideration
in very small Village allow
[illegible] the fair

H. Reeve

9/8/94

it now appears
The Constitution of these as Separate Villages was due to
incorrect report regarding ~~Hakdars~~ existence of Hakdars —
As there are no Hakdars the best plan is to absorb
them into neighbouring villages in the most convenient
manner possible when the Dyz Comr. will settle in
Comm^n with the Survey Supt. None of the
parties have any Hak to the Potkatchip claimed —

29. 1. 94.

8/2/94

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892. 3

No. 978

Name of Petitioner. Ramnaiga & Lake Patel.

Village. Bandal Bore & Mallenhalli.

Taluk. Hassan

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 22-6-92

Date of Receipt. 27-6-92

Date of Disposal. —

No. of Enclosures. Nil

(1) Appeal in time
(2) Stamp Correct
(3) Copy produced.
(4) Rule I/ cl (1) of Mod Rule
— Call for Kaif with report
RO.
4/3/93
FR 14-9-1

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the Dy Comrs order No. 868 of 1892 in re the Patelships of Bandal bore & Mallenhalli and Solicits the perusal of the Kaifi extract filed in the said case shewing that the office was performed by the Petitioners father Chandaya Naraiah & subsequently by the Petitioners brother Chandaya Venkatanaiga Patel Patgi Sannad, & mention of the Petitioners father's name in the Jamabandi Patrika;

(2) Also Extract from the Judicial Records shewing that the Petitioners brother died the duties of

PR 14/7

latter village from the beginning
& Dy the former since it
transfer from the Amrut
-mahal Deptt. 20 years
ago & that he & the petitioner
have helped in the survey,
fixing of bounds, & that
they collected revenues
& remitted to the Govt.
3) Also Extract shewing that
after these villages became
Inchnah the petitioner's brother
& the petitioner performed the
duties under orders of
Govt, and that the Dy.
Commissioner of Shimoga has
several times recommended the
Petitioner for the office.
4) States that when the District
authorities wrote to the Inam
Commissioner to select a fit
competent Patel for the
above-mentioned three villages,
the latter office made no enquiries
whatever of the petitioner, Petitioner's
brothers, or his father who have
performed the duties, but
recommended Ramji Gowda
Patil of the neighbouring village
of Ginigere, and the Dy Comr
Colonel Cleveland approved of
the selection, and the Patelship
of Mallenhalli has been
conferred on the Gowda who
has no personal Connection
for the village & that the
Petitioner was informed of
the arrangement by the Shimoga

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No.	
Name of Petitioner.	
Village.	
Taluk.	
District.	
Date of Arzi.	
Date of Receipt.	
Date of Disposal.	
No. of Enclosures.	

ABSTRACT.

By Amil Sirs No. 438 dated 12-6-92.

(5) That dispossession of Patel hereditary from the incumbent who performed the office from the beginning, the restoration of the Patel of Bevoor Rangi Gowda, Ben on Ranga Gowda's Kallu on Ranga Gowda's and that of Mallenhalli on the Gowda on the reason of this having been proved Gowda's Vary from justice and Rasp that all the records connected with the case may be called for and perused, * The fact that for a long time the petitioner has performed the duties of Patel

Potgi allowance & lands
& keep the revenue of the
Pechnak village from
falling taken en to consi-
-deration, that the ~~Cowries~~
Cowries may be ~~be~~
Cancelled & the Patelki of
the 2 villages conferred on
the Petitioner -

J. M.R
12/7/92

Endorsement No. 434

From the Asst Salary Cutcherry
of Grama -

Endorsement to Ramenger
residing in Kasba Grama.

On your petition stating that
You are the hereditary for the
Patelki of Borrodal bore
& Mallenhalli & claiming
the office of these two villages
Correspondence has taken place
with the Dy Huzoor with
the result of the Huzoor
order to the effect that the Patelki
of Borrodal bore be conferred 1/2
on Ranga Gowda Patel of Bettanjur
& 1/2 on Ranga Gowda of Hegghalli
these families having office of the
office by turns, & that the office
of Mallenhalli in the Gowda
Line of Bore Gowda as per Huzoor
No. 868 —

(12) By Amildar's

12-6-92

A.S. No 56

ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಧರಿಸಿ ಮನುಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಬಹುದಾದ ಪರಿಣಾಮಗಳನ್ನು ಊಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಲಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಗಳಿಂದ ಮನುಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಬಹುದಾದ ಪರಿಣಾಮಗಳನ್ನು ಊಹಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದ ಪರಿಣಾಮಗಳು ಮನುಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಬಹುದಾದ ಪರಿಣಾಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಸುಳಿವನ್ನು ನೀಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಲಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 12-6-92

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಅಥವಾ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡ

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 978

dated 22-6-92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Timmaiengar,
Late Potail of Bovenahalli,
Bovenahalli village,
Hassan Taluk, appealing against
Deputy Commr's order c/o re
the Patelship of the said village,

is forwarded, together with its ~~enclosures~~ with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr
Hassan Dist for submission
of his report on the
facts of the case and on the
points taken in the petition.
2 The petition should be
returned.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
20 July 1892

Rendered
20/7

Appellant to
produce a copy
the order
appealed against
BO
Rejected

R 1/11 - 21-8

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 422

Name of Petitioner. Ranga Gauda
Patel.

Village. Grama

Taluk. Hassan

District. Do.

Date of Arzi. 14th August

Date of Receipt. 17th August

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner states that on
occasion having arisen
to appoint a newly a Pat.
the depopulated villa.
Mallenahalli, Gram
the Deputy Commr. o.
the claims of Petitioner
owns a land with an
off. 15 in the village
keifed govt. in the C.
of lands & bttes works, an
gave the office to one
who had no connection
with the village; that
Commr. rejected the ap
filed by Petitioner & one

... issued no endorsement to
Petitioner; and that he learns
that Narandyigan's appeal
is accepted in this office
and he pray, that this
petition may be
joined to the said appeal
file and his son Sira-
nanga Ganda be appointed.
Patel.

S.P

422A

ಚರಮಶ್ಲೋಕವು ಪರಮಶಿವನಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಪಿತವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಷ್ಟಲಿಂಗ
ನಿಷ್ಠನಾದವನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಪಮಾತ್ರವಾದ ಚಾಂಚಲ್ಯವು ಸಹ ಅಪರಿಮಿತ
ದೋಷಪರಂಪರೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೂಲಕಾರಣವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಣಮಿಸುವುದು
ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಈ ಶ್ಲೋಕವು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಷ್ಟಲಿಂಗ
ಪೂಜಾಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಅಲ್ಪಮಾತ್ರವಾಗಿಯಾದರೂ ಬಾಹ್ಯ
ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹರಿಯಲು ಬಿಡಬಾರದು. ಅಷ್ಟಾವರಣ ಸಂಪನ್ನ
ನಾದ ಸಾಧಕನಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಣಲಿಂಗಿಸ್ಥಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿದ್ಧಿಸುವ ಪರಿಪೂರ್ಣ
ಲಿಂಗಾನುಭವವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದು ಎಂಬ
ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಶ್ಲೋಕವು ನೀಡುತ್ತದೆ.

(General Serial No. 30.) 2454

1892. Pet. 121

From

The Dewan Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan Council of Mysore.

Dated 30th
Despatched 31st } August 1892.

Received

Enclosures No. Petition with its enclosures and one note of Tehsildars No.

General No. 356.

In reply to 64 Dated 2nd Jan 1892.

SUBJECT

Submitting report on the petition of Narayanayya, late Patel of Byandal Doré and Mallenahalli village, Hassan Taluk appealing against Dy Commissioner's order in re. the Patel-ship of the said village.

Peter Bs
Mr. 1/9/92

K. Seshagiri Iyengar 2 mems
Peter Bs 12
Mr 10/9

References (to be entered by the Dewan's Office).

2/11/92 Read 6/9.

No. 856
in Petn. file
of Kavirajan

Assistant Commissioner's Office
Tanjore District
Dated Tanjore 30th August 1892

From

P. N. Parasuraya Sastri
Assistant Commissioner,
Tanjore District,

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Madras,

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No. 04 dated 20th Ultimo, forwarding a petition from Kaviraja Rao Sahib of Koodalore and Kattumannarkoil villages, Tanjore Taluk, in re-the Patchaiyi of the said villages, I have the honor to submit herewith the remarks of Mr. Cornish connected with the case and to state that on the 16th April 1890 the Petitioner's predecessor presented a petition

to the Magistrian of Yaoun
Said Saint Fraya ——— ing
for the Ennoblement of Fabrain
of the Said Village, in Consideration
tion of the Lineal and Services
rendered by his late father
and brother, Fanaingar, the
present Standaque of Yaoun.
The latter (Fanaingar) appeared
before the Magistrian and
States that he is the Lineal
Chief of the Village, in question
as the eldest Son Collateral and
other wise Connected with the
Fabrication of the Said Village
Since the death of his father
and that in Support of this State-
ment he has a Royal Warrant
granted to him. The Magistrian
in recommending the Applicant
for the Fabrication of the Village
under reference to his petition
Ref 203 dated 6th June 1890 that

Baramkuti Register contains
no specified name of Patel for these
villages. Considering the Statement
of Varadiyar for renouncing his
supposed right in favor of his
brother Varadiyar as insuffi-
-cient, the Dy. Collector was
directed vide office order
No. 257 dated 24th July 1890 to in-
-form Varadiyar that unless
his claims are proved by proper
evidence his case cannot be consi-
-dered; failing which the Dy. Collec-
-tor may select any qualified
person for the post. The Dy.
Collector states in reply (vide
No. 681 dated 12th December 1890)
that Varadiyar has no other
documents except the Kaifi
Summud granted him and
that in consideration of the
long services rendered by his
father and brother the applicant
Varadiyar who is well qualified

Craycie appointed for the post.
In reference to the above
the D.F.O. Gunidan was again
asked to submit references
to show that Gunidan or
his father were discharging
the duties of the Kaleidari of
the said village for the last
50 years. The D.F.O. Gunidan
in obedience to the above order
submitted extracts from Kirdi
and Pyatwar basudi books
of Jaitsinghi and Kirdi
book of Boordai Bore, and
to show that Gunidan
collected Revenue of Jaitsinghi
village since Kalundaniya
and that of Boordai Bore
since the Survey Settlement
(Tirkabdiam). On the 28th
July 1890 the Sub-Assistant Conser-
-vator of Forests was requested
to

to select a qualified person to act after the finalization work of Bonedhar Bore. Road for which Sri Purandhijan, the Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests submitted the Name of Ramge Gowda Patel of Bodai-gonegere and Kallegowda Patel of Hegganahalli (vide his Yadi No. 50 dated 5th August 1891). On the 31st July 89, Ramge Gowda, Patel of Bodai gonegere and Kallegowda Patel of Hegganahalli preferred petitions to the Deputy Commissioner, the former praying for the Patelship of Bodaigonegere and the latter for the Patel of Bonedhar Road. The above petitions were submitted by the Deputy Commissioner for orders. In reply he was directed to order the

parties to appear before this
office during the forenoon
of this said date. (Vide this office
order No. 721 dated 28th February
1892). On the 2nd April 1894
Mr. Raja Syed Karamadin Sahib
the then Asst. Commissioner
of this District having heard
the parties in person ordered
that the Patchiyji of Coconut
Bore Kanal should be enjoyed
alternatively by the families
of Rangegowda, Patel of Doddi
- gowegere and Rangegowda
Son of Veggadaiah Patel and
Eregowda who is the resident
of Inaliyakki and celebrate
an annual Kondajeru
of Rs. 20/- for that village
should be sanctioned as Patel
of

of Instruction (vide this office
order No. 808 dated 20th April 1892).

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt servt

[signature]

Dy Commissioner

Nizam district

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 2.

No. 12 of

Name of Petitioner. Patel

Village.

Taluk.

District. Do.

Date of Arzi. 10 - 11 92

Date of Receipt. 12 - 11 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one

ABSTRACT.

14/11/92

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

1201 R

12-11-92

d 12 141-91-6

To

The Superintendent
Survey Revenue Survey
Bangalore

Dated

Despd.

Re 2837 10/5/93
F 764-92 12

From the Asst Commr Sub Div Sira 9-9-93.

Has the honor to report that he visited on the 4th inst the Reserved village of Mallimaddi in the Jeerva Hobli (which is only 3/4 of a mile, more or less, from Sira, but has been detached for the time of the survey settlement, formed into a new village and a Patti allowance of Rs. 2-8-. per annum and similarly the Hanal called Bandal near Sira has been detached near the village of Dodgonjare and designated as a separate village; after

Lieber Herr Professor Ihr
sehr freundlicher Brief erreichte
mich gestern in Berlin. Ich
bedaure es sehr, Ihnen nicht
persönlich meinen Dank für
Ihre Aufmerksamkeit sagen zu
können, aber ich hoffe, mir
in Kürze Gelegenheit dazu
zu finden. Ich werde
Ihnen einen Separatabdruck
meiner Arbeit schicken, die
Sie vielleicht interessieren wird.
Mit vielen Grüßen
Ihr sehr ergebener

Dr. Sachs
(Arnold)

No. 47,

3124

1893.

Pet. 140

From The Superintendent of Mysore Revenue Survey

To The Chief Secretary to ~~The Revenue Survey~~, the Dewan of Mysore.

Sl 1

Dated Bangalore 5th Sept 93

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 165 } 1893.
No.

Submitting his opinion regarding the amalgamation of certain villages in the Grama Sub Taluk.

Peter
Asst. Branch
5/9

C/P. 165

Survey Superintendent's office

Bangalore 6th September 93

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state in reply to your Docket No. 2837 of 6th ultimo P. 7164-92 that the Taluk of Chickballi (Area 80.24) is not the same as the Taluk of Gauna. The Taluks of Dodgunigere Hoggadihalli and Bandal Bore Kanal (Area 80.43) are also all of different Hobli families. Under the circumstances it was not considered advisable to amalgamate the villages in question.

2. Usually villages belonging to different Hoblis are only arbitrarily amalgamated when one of the villages has a

has a very small Revenue of from Rs. 50 to Rs. 50. The villages now in question can be amalgamated under the orders of Government.

3 The same remark applies to making the Rates of neighbouring villages look after the duties of Kudiemahdi and Rural Beat Patrol. The other case it is a question of Fact which the Statistics are usually very unwilling to relinquish.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient Servant

Superintendent Thyagoo

Revenue Survey

S.C.8

To

The Superintendent
Bangalore Revenue Survey
Bangalore

No. 9808 dt. 18-12-93
Fel. 6042 " 21-12-93

His letter No. 185 dated 5th Octr 893, stating that the villages of Mallimadlu and Basavanahalli Kaval Ledjari in the Taluq of Sathimangalam, Hadlur Taluk of their own, different from the Taluks of Chanda and Dodsangapur respectively and that therefore it was not considered advisable to amalgamate them with the latter.

With reference to the above he is hereby informed that for the purpose mentioned above the villages mentioned in the Statement enclosed in his letter No. 557 dated 31st Augt 1892 from the Deputy Tahsildar of Dodballapur will be transferred to the Taluk of Sathimangalam.

1. Counterfoil Register No. 2003 for January
2. Do. No. 65 for 2nd Decr 1893
3. Notification No. 57 dt. 16th Augt 1892
4. Counterfoil Register No. 942 for January 1893
5. Despatch note No. 861 for 20th April 1893

Upwards of 5 years that
the Unit has not a single
pair of the Army Lieutenant
and two Army Bedsteads,
and that neither had any
Hardware Rails; and request
that the Assistant Director
will be pleased to state what
list of this Unit that the orders
referred to were Khaki Rails.

By order

14/12

Unit Secretary

Petitions Branch

Read

No. 47,

1894. 10943.

Pet: 391

From The Asst: Mysore Rev: Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~The Revenue Survey,~~ Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 15th January/94

Despatched 16th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 430 } 1894.
No.

Chief Secretary's docket

No. 9508 of 21st December 1893
P. 7764-92

In returning the Vernacular papers received with the above has the honor to state that in the return furnished by the Amildar at time of Police settlement dated 10th September 1877, the villages in question were shown as belonging to Shanbog. Varadiengar under head

203

13

251

367

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 721

1-3-92

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ
ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ
ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಸಿ.ಸಿ. ಕಛೇರಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಸಿ.ಸಿ. ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 23 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ, 1992

ಶ್ರೀ

2-3-92

No 868 Date 3 Oct 1906

32 / 449

To

The Secretary to the Government of India
Foreign Department
Simla

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of a letter from the Political Agent, Khelat, dated the 12th September 1906, with enclosures, regarding the Sarawan Chief's claim to the Kahan Mines.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]
Agent to the Governor General
in Baluchistan

Before the Government
of the Highness the Maharajah
of Mysore

Petition Nos 278 of 1891-92
422 of 1892-93
2926 of " -

Petitioners
1. Narsingrao
2 Range Gowda of
Gurumu
3. Narsimhachar

Respondents
1. Siddegowda
2 Range Gowda
of Hodigeragi
3 Range Gowda
of Heggadahalli

Facts of the case

At the Survey Settlement
in 1882-83, Patagi of
Rs 2-8-0 was provided for a
Patel for Mallenhalli, a
Badagas village attached
to the Gurumu Sima and 3/4
a mile from Gurumu, and
of Rs 3 for a Patel for Bura
Malbodekurubi which was
not a village. The Patels
had been appointed for these
villages and at the enquiry
made in 1890-91, the following
persons asserted

claims :—

(1) for Vallenkalli and Burudakone Kaval

(a) Narasingar brother of Varadangar, Shanbog of Gramma - on the ground that his brother Varadangar had attended to the collection of Revenue of Vallenkalli from Bahudanya 1278-79, and of Burudakone Kaval from Chitradurg, 1332-33, and received a Samad from the Survey department to receive the Potagi, and that Varadangar wished that these Patels should be conferred on him,

(2) for the Vallenkalli village

(b) Range Gowda, Police Patel of Gramma - on the ground that before Survey the village had been attached to Gramma and that at the Survey he rendered the necessary help to the Survey Officers.

(c) Narasimhachar, Garnesta of the Revenue Patel of Gramma

(d) Eregowda, a Sajat

holding lands
assessed at Rs 20 at
Mallenhalli and
living near the village

(3) for the Ground Sowkars

(a) Ranga Gowda, Patel
of Dod Gonigere – on
the ground that he had
been the lessee of the
Halbanni of the Kaval
since the last 20 years
and that the greater
part of the Kaval was
close to his village

(b) Ranga Gowda, son of
Kalegowda, Patel of
Hoggadihalli – on the
ground that the Kaval
was close to his village;
that he and his father
had been looking after
it and that he would
reside in the Kaval
to look after the Govern-
ment Plantation in it.

2. The Asst. Deputy Com-
missioner of Tiptur, after
personal inspection rejected
Eregowda for Mallenhalli
and decided that the
Patels of both Dodgonigere
and Hoggadihalli be appointed

as Patakis of Bundalkere Kaval, that the former do at first hold the Office during his life, that thereafter the Heggadahalli Patel or his heir do hold the Office during his life, and that both the families do then enjoy the Inam alternately. The three disapproved elements (a), (b) and (c) have appealed against ~~against~~ the said order.

3. The Survey Superintendent explains that separate Potagi allowances, though very small in amount, were fixed for Malienhalli and Bundalkere Kaval, because in the returns furnished by the Amildar at the time of the Potagi settlement it was shown that there was a separate Hadinan Patel for them, but the vernacular proceedings received from the Deputy Commissioner show

show that neither Mallon-
halli nor Bundalbore had
had any holidar Patel

Order

The constitution of these
as separate villages was,
it now appears, due to
incorrect report regarding
existence of Holidars. As
there are 220 Holidars, the
best plan is to absorb
them into neighbouring
villages in the most con-
venient manner possible,
which the Deputy Commissioner,
will settle in communi-
cation with the Survey
Superintendent. None of
the parties have any claim
to the Patailship claimed

By order
Redraft [illegible] 13/
Chief Secretary

Copy to the Dy. Commr.
Hassan District with the

No. 1354 = 42 1/2 dt 8. 2. 94.
13
Pat. 7. 64-93.

Records received with his
No. 355 3rd August, 32
Copy to Survey Dept. with reference
to his No. 180 of 15th January, 32.

By Order

Chief Secretary

S.V.

J. Hakdar Patel.

Supt: Revenue

Survey Mysore.